

# Multiple E-K integral of I- functions Pertaining to Srivastava polynomials

Nawal Kishor Jangid, Vijay Kumar Singh

Department of Mathematics, Swami Keshvanand Institute of Technology, Management and Gramothan, Jaipur-302017  
(INDIA)

Email: nawal.jangid@skit.ac.in, vijay.singhal@skit.ac.in

Received 22.09.2023 received in revised form 03.10.2023, accepted 16.10.2023

DOI: 10.47904/IJSKIT.13.2.2023.103-107

**Abstract:** The multiple Erdélyi-Kober integral of the I-function, incomplete I-function connected to Srivastava polynomials is presented in this paper. Here, a few inferences using the  $\bar{H}$ -function, H-functions and incomplete  $\bar{I}_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$  and  $\bar{\gamma}I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$  functions are obtained. As particular examples of the original conclusion, countless more integrals emerge because of the universal nature of the I-function and Srivastava polynomials.

**Keywords:** I-function, Multiple Erdélyi - Kober integral, Srivastava Polynomials.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rathie [1] created the I-function, an extension of the well-known  $\bar{H}$ -function, H-function and G-function [2]. The precise partition function of the Gaussian model in statistical mechanics, along with other helpful functions for testing hypotheses, are all contained in the I-function [1]. The following is the representation of the I-function using a Mellin-Barnes type contour integral.

$$I_{P,Q}^{M,N}[z] = I_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j; \alpha_j)_{1,N}, (a_j, A_j; \alpha_j)_{N+1,P} \\ (b_j, B_j; \beta_j)_{1,M}, (b_j, B_j; \beta_j)_{M+1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \theta(s) z^s ds \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\theta(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma^{\beta_j}(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma^{\alpha_j}(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=M+1}^Q \Gamma^{\beta_j}(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=N+1}^P \Gamma^{\alpha_j}(a_j - A_j s)} \quad (1.2)$$

Also,

- (i)  $z \neq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$ ,
- (iii)  $M, N, P, Q$  are integers satisfying  $0 \leq M \leq Q, 0 \leq N \leq P$ .
- (iv) L is a suitable contour in the complex plane.
- (v) An empty product is to be interpreted as unity.
- (vi)  $A_j, j = 1, \dots, P; B_j, j = 1, \dots, Q; \alpha_j, j = 1, \dots, P$  and  $\beta_j, j = 1, \dots, Q$  are real positive numbers.
- (vii)  $a_j, j = 1, \dots, P$  and  $b_j, j = 1, \dots, Q$  are complex numbers.

There are three different contours L of integration.

- (a) L goes from  $\sigma - i\infty$  to  $\sigma + i\infty$ , ( $\sigma$  is real) so that all the singularities of  $\Gamma^{\beta_j}(b_j - B_j s)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M$  lie to the right, and all the singularities

of  $\Gamma^{\alpha_j}(1 - a_j + A_j s)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$  lie to the left of L.

- (b) L is a loop beginning and ending at  $+\infty$  and encircling all the singularities of  $\Gamma^{\beta_j}(b_j - B_j s)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M$  once in the clockwise direction, but none of the singularities of  $\Gamma^{\alpha_j}(1 - a_j + A_j s)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .
- (c) L is a loop beginning and ending at  $-\infty$  and encircling all the singularities of  $\Gamma^{\alpha_j}(1 - a_j + A_j s)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$  once in the anti-clockwise direction, but none of the singularities of  $\Gamma^{\beta_j}(b_j - B_j s)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M$

In short equation (1.1) will be denoted by,

$$I_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j; \alpha_j)_{1,P} \\ (b_j, B_j; \beta_j)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad (1.3)$$

For  $\alpha_j$  (and / or  $\beta_j$ ) not an integer, the poles of the gamma functions of the numerator in (1.2) are converted to branch points. The branch cuts can be chosen so that the path of integration can be distorted for each of the three contours L mentioned above as long as there is no coincidence of poles from any  $\Gamma(b_j - B_j s)$ , and  $\Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)$  pair. The sufficient conditions for convergence of (1.1) are following:

$$\theta = \sum_{j=1}^M |B_j \beta_j| + \sum_{j=1}^N |A_j \alpha_j| - \sum_{j=M+1}^Q |B_j \beta_j| > 0 \quad (1.4)$$

And

$$|\arg z| < \theta \frac{\pi}{2}$$

where  $\theta$  is given by (1.4).

Srivastava [3] introduced the general class of polynomials

$$S_N^\lambda[x] = \sum_{l=0}^{[N/\lambda]} \frac{(-N)_{\lambda k}}{l!} A_{N,l} x^l, N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary positive integer and the coefficients  $A_{N,l}$  ( $N, l \geq 0$ ) are arbitrary constants, real or complex.

Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer;  $\delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in \Re, \beta_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . We consider  $\delta_i$  (multiorder of fractional integral);  $\gamma_i$  (multi-weight);  $\beta_i$  (additional parameter) then generalized fractional integral or multiple Erdelyi- Kober integral ([4], [5]) is defined by

$$\tilde{I}f(z) = I_{(\beta_k),m}^{(\gamma_k),(\delta_k)} f(z) = \int_0^1 H_{m,m}^{m,0} \left[ \sigma \begin{bmatrix} (\gamma_k + \delta_k + 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_k}, \frac{1}{\beta_k})_{1,m} \\ (\gamma_k + 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_k}, \frac{1}{\beta_k})_{1,m} \end{bmatrix} f(z\sigma) d\sigma;$$

if  $\sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i > 0$  (1.6)  $\tilde{I}f(z) = f(z)$  if  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \dots = \delta_m = 0$  (1.7)

Almost all the fractional calculus operators and most of their generalization fall in the generalized fractional calculus as special cases by taking multiplicities  $m=1,2,\dots$  and special parameters.

The following lemma ([5], [6]) will be required to establish our main results

Lemma: For  $\delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in \Re, \beta_i > 0, (i = 1,2,\dots,m)$ ,

$$p > \max_i [-\beta_i(\gamma_i + 1)], \gamma_i \geq -1, p > 0$$

$$I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^p] = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + \frac{p}{\beta_i})}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + \frac{p}{\beta_i})} z^p \quad (1.8)$$

The incomplete  $I$ -functions, the generalized form of  $I$ -function described by Rathie, which are the expansion[8] formulae of well recognized Fox's  $H$ -function and many related functions. Recently, new classes of incomplete  $I$ -

$\gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$  and  $\Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$  have investigated by jangid et al.[9] and are defined as follows:

$$\gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) = \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ z \begin{bmatrix} (u_1, U_1; \lambda_1; x), (u_2, U_2; \lambda_2), \dots, (u_p, U_p; \lambda_p) \\ (v_1, V_1; \mu_1), \dots, (v_q, V_q; \mu_q) \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ z \begin{bmatrix} (u_1, U_1; \lambda_1; x), (u_j, U_j; \lambda_j)_{2,p} \\ (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,q} \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s, x) z^s ds, \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) = \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ z \begin{bmatrix} (u_1, U_1; \lambda_1; x), (u_2, U_2; \lambda_2), \dots, (u_p, U_p; \lambda_p) \\ (v_1, V_1; \mu_1), \dots, (v_q, V_q; \mu_q) \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

$$\Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ z \begin{bmatrix} (u_1, U_1; \lambda_1; x), (u_j, U_j; \lambda_j)_{2,p} \\ (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,q} \end{bmatrix} \right] =$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \psi(s, x) z^s ds, \quad (1.10)$$

for all  $z \neq 0$  where

$$\phi(s, x) = \frac{\{\gamma(1-u_1+U_1 s, x)\}^{\lambda_1} \prod_{j=1}^m \{\Gamma(v_j - V_j s)\}^{\mu_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \{\Gamma(1-v_j+V_j s)\}^{\mu_j}} \times$$

$$\frac{\prod_{j=2}^n \{\Gamma(1-u_j+U_j s)\}^{\lambda_j}}{\prod_{j=n+1}^P \{\Gamma(u_j - U_j s)\}^{\lambda_j}} \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\psi(s, x) = \frac{\{\Gamma(1-u_1+U_1 s, x)\}^{\lambda_1} \prod_{j=1}^M \{\Gamma(v_j - V_j s)\}^{\mu_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \{\Gamma(1-v_j+V_j s)\}^{\mu_j}} \times$$

$$\frac{\prod_{j=2}^n \{\Gamma(1-u_j+U_j s)\}^{\lambda_j}}{\prod_{j=n+1}^P \{\Gamma(u_j - U_j s)\}^{\lambda_j}} \quad (1.12)$$

where the incomplete gamma functions  $\gamma(s, x)$  and  $\Gamma(s, x)$  defined as follows:

$$\gamma(s, x) = \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (R(s) > 0; x \geq 0), \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (x \geq 0; R(s) > 0) \quad (1.14)$$

Known as lower and upper incomplete gamma functions respectively.

The incomplete  $I$ -functions defined in (1.9) and (1.10) exist for all  $x \geq 0$  under the same contour and circumstances defined by Rathie[1].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

### Result 1:

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^k (z + \xi)^{-\lambda} S_{N_1}^{M_1} (z^p (z + \xi)^{-q}) \times \\ & I_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left( z^\sigma (z + \xi)^{-\rho} \begin{bmatrix} (a_j, A_j; \alpha_j)_{1,P} \\ (b_j, B_j; \beta_j)_{1,Q} \end{bmatrix} \right)] \\ &= z^k \xi^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \frac{z^{m+pk_1}}{\xi^{m+qk_1}} (-N_1)_{M_1 k_1} \times \\ & \frac{A(N_1, k_1)}{k_1 !} \times \\ & I_{P+2,Q+1+l}^{M,N+1+l} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \frac{z^\sigma}{\xi^\rho}, (1-\lambda-m-qk_1; \rho), \\ (b_j, B_j; \beta_j)_{1,Q}, (1-\lambda-qk_1; \rho), \\ (-\gamma_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k+m+pk_1); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}), (a_j, A_j; \alpha_j)_{1,P} \\ (-\gamma_i - \delta_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k+m+pk_1); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Where

$$\delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in \Re, \beta_i > 0,$$

$$= \left( \gamma_i + \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k+m+pk_1) \right) \geq -1, (i = 1,2,\dots,l).$$

$$\sigma, \rho \geq 0, l \geq 1, |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} A\pi$$

Where

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^M |B_j \beta_j| + \sum_{j=1}^N |A_j \alpha_j| - \sum_{j=M+1}^Q |B_j \beta_j| - \sum_{j=N+1}^P |A_j \alpha_j| > 0;$$

$$\min Re(k) + \sigma_1 \leq j \leq M \left[ Re \left( \frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) \right]$$

$$\min Re(\lambda) + \rho_1 \leq j \leq M \left[ Re \left( \frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) \right]$$

### Result 2:

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^k (z + \xi)^{-\lambda} S_{N_1}^{M_1} (z^p (z + \xi)^{-q}) \times \\ & \quad \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ z^\sigma (z + \xi)^{-\rho} \begin{matrix} (u_1, U_1; \lambda_1 : x), (u_j, U_j; \lambda_j)_{2,p} \\ (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] \\ & = z^k \xi^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \frac{z^{m+pk_1}}{\xi^{m+qk_1}} (-N_1)_{M_1 k_1} \times \\ & \quad \frac{A(N_1, k_1)}{k_1!} \times \\ & \quad \Gamma I_{p+2,Q+1+l}^{M,N+1+l} \left[ \begin{matrix} (1 - \lambda - m - qk_1; \rho), \\ (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,Q}, (1 - \lambda - qk_1; \rho), \\ (-\gamma_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}), (u_j, U_j; \lambda_j)_{1,P} \\ (-\gamma_i - \delta_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}) \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in R, \beta_i > 0, \\ & \left( \gamma_i + \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k + m + pk_i) \right) \geq -1, (i = 1, 2, \dots, l). \\ & \sigma, \rho \geq 0, l \geq 1, |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} A\pi \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{j=1}^M |B_j \beta_j| + \sum_{j=1}^P |A_j \alpha_j| - \sum_{j=M+1}^Q |B_j \beta_j| \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=N+1}^P |A_j \alpha_j| > 0; \\ & \min Re(k) + \sigma_1 \leq j \leq M \left[ Re \left( \frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) \right] \\ & \min Re(\lambda) + \rho_1 \leq j \leq M \left[ Re \left( \frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

### Result 3

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^k (z + \xi)^{-\lambda} S_{N_1}^{M_1} (z^p (z + \xi)^{-q}) \times \\ & \quad \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} [z^\sigma (z + \xi)^{-\rho} \\ & \quad \left| (u_1, U_1; \lambda_1 : x), (u_j, U_j; \lambda_j)_{2,p} \right. \\ & \quad \left. (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,q} \right] \\ & = z^k \xi^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \frac{z^{m+pk_1}}{\xi^{m+qk_1}} (-N_1)_{M_1 k_1} \times \\ & \quad \frac{A(N_1, k_1)}{k_1!} \times \\ & \quad \Gamma I_{p+2,Q+1+l}^{M,N+1+l} \left[ \begin{matrix} (1 - \lambda - m - qk_1; \rho), \\ (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,Q}, (1 - \lambda - qk_1; \rho), \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{aligned} & (-\gamma_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}), (u_j, U_j; \lambda_j)_{1,P} \\ & (-\gamma_i - \delta_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}) \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in R, \beta_i > 0, \\ & \left( \gamma_i + \frac{1}{\beta_i} (k + m + pk_i) \right) \geq -1, (i = 1, 2, \dots, l). \\ & \sigma, \rho \geq 0, l \geq 1, |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} A\pi \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{j=1}^M |B_j \beta_j| + \sum_{j=1}^N |A_j \alpha_j| - \sum_{j=M+1}^Q |B_j \beta_j| \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=N+1}^P |A_j \alpha_j| > 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \min Re(k) + \sigma_1 \leq j \leq M \left[ Re \left( \frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) \right] \\ & \min Re(\lambda) + \rho_1 \leq j \leq M \left[ Re \left( \frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

### Proof:

In order to prove (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we first translate the I-function into the Srivastava polynomials and the Mellin-Barnes integral (1.1) using (1.6). With a few minor simplifications, applying the Lemma (1.8) and reversing the sequence of integration and summation, we reach the desired outcome.

### 3. Special Cases:

(i) On taking  $\alpha_j = 1$  ( $j = N + 1, \dots, P$ ) and  $\beta_j = 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, M$ ) in (0.3.3) the I-function reduces to the  $\bar{H}$ -function given by Inayat Hussain [7] as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^k (z + \xi)^{-\lambda} S_{N_1}^{M_1} (z^p (z + \xi)^{-q}) \times \\ & \quad \Gamma I_{p,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z^\sigma (z + \xi)^{-\rho} \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j; \alpha_j)_{1,N}, (a_j, A_j; 1)_{N+1,P} \\ (b_j, B_j; 1)_{1,M}, (b_j, B_j; \beta_j)_{M+1,Q} \end{matrix} \right] \\ & = z^k \xi^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \frac{z^{m+pk_1}}{\xi^{m+qk_1}} (-N_1)_{M_1 k_1} \frac{A(N_1, k_1)}{k_1!} \\ & \quad \times \bar{H}_{p+2,Q+1+l}^{M,N+1+l} \left[ \begin{matrix} (1 - \lambda - m - qk_1; \rho), \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1,M}, (b_j, B_j; \beta_j)_{M+1,Q} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\gamma_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i}(k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}), (a_j, A_j; \alpha_j)_{1,N}, (a_j, A_j)_{N+1,k_1} \\ & (1 - \lambda - qk_1; \rho), (-\gamma_i - \delta_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i}(k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) Taking  $\alpha_j = 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, P$ ) and  $\beta_j = 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, Q$ ) the I-function reduces to the well-known Fox's H-function as

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^k (z + \xi)^{-\lambda} S_{N_1}^{M_1} (z^p (z + \xi)^{-q}) \times \\ & I_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left( z^\sigma (z + \xi)^{-\rho} | (a_j, A_j; 1)_{1,P} \right)] \\ & = z^k \xi^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \frac{z^{m+pk_1}}{\xi^{m+qk_1}} (-N_1)_{M_1 k_1} \times \\ & \frac{A(N_1, k_1)}{k_1!} \times \\ & H_{P+2,Q+1+l}^{M,N+1+l} \left[ \begin{array}{l} z^\sigma \\ \xi^\rho \end{array} \right] (v_j, V_j; 1)_{1,Q}, (1 - \lambda - qk_1; \rho), \\ & \left. \begin{array}{l} (-\gamma_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i}(k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}), (a_j, A_j)_{1,P} \\ (-\gamma_i - \delta_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i}(k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

(iii) Taking  $\lambda_j = 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) in (2.2) the I-function reduces to incomplete  $\bar{I}_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$  - function [10] as

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^k (z + \xi)^{-\lambda} S_{N_1}^{M_1} (z^p (z + \xi)^{-q}) \times \\ & \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} [z^\sigma (z + \xi)^{-\rho} \\ & \left. \begin{array}{l} (u_1, U_1; 1: x), (u_j, U_j; 1)_{2,p} \\ (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right]] \\ & = z^k \xi^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \frac{z^{m+pk_1}}{\xi^{m+qk_1}} (-N_1)_{M_1 k_1} \times \\ & \frac{A(N_1, k_1)}{k_1!} \times \\ & \bar{I}_{P+2,Q+1+l}^{M,N+1+l} \left[ \begin{array}{l} z^\sigma \\ \xi^\rho \end{array} \right] (v_j, V_j; \mu_j)_{1,Q}, (1 - \lambda - qk_1; \rho), \\ & \left. \begin{array}{l} (-\gamma_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i}(k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}), (u_j, U_j; 1)_{1,P} \\ (-\gamma_i - \delta_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i}(k + m + pk_i); \frac{\sigma}{\beta_i}) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

(iv) Taking  $\mu_j = 1$  ( $j = n + 1, \dots, p$ ) in (2.3) the I-function reduces to incomplete  $\bar{I}_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$  - function[10] as

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),(\delta_i)} [z^k (z + \xi)^{-\lambda} S_{N_1}^{M_1} (z^p (z + \xi)^{-q}) \times \\ & \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} [z^\sigma (z + \xi)^{-\rho} \\ & \left. \begin{array}{l} (u_1, U_1; \lambda_1: x), (u_j, U_j; \lambda_j)_{2,p} \\ (v_j, V_j; 1)_{1,q} \end{array} \right]] \end{aligned}$$

### 3. CONCLUSION

The results were not only quite broad in nature, but they were also presented in a concise manner, making them suitable for application. The current finding brings together many new discoveries in an intriguing way and extends them.

### REFERENCE

- [1]. A. K. Rathie, A new generalization of generalized hypergeometric function, *Le Mathematiche*, 1997, 52(2), 297-310.
- [2]. H.M. Srivastava, K.C. Gupta, S.P. Goyal, *The H-Functions of One and Two Variables with Applications* South Asian Publs., New Delhi (1982).
- [3]. H.M. Srivastava, A contour integral involving Fox's H-function, *Indian J. Math.* 14(1972), 1-6.
- [4]. V. Kiryakova, *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications* Longman & J. Wiley, Harlow, New York (1994).
- [5]. V. Kiryakova, the special functions of fractional calculus as generalized fractional calculus operators of some basic functions., *Computer and Mathematics with applications* 59(2010), 1128-1141.
- [6]. V. Kiryakova, The operators of the generalized fractional calculus and their action in classes of univalent functions, *Geometric function theory and applications (Proc. of International symposium, Sofia) 2010*, 29-40.
- [7]. A. A. Inayat-Hussain, New properties of hypergeometric series derivable from Feynman integrals: II, A generalization of the H-function, *J. Physics. A. Math. Gen.*, 20(1987), 4119-4128.
- [8]. C. Fox, The G and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier Kernels, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 98(1961), 395-429.
- [9]. K. Jangid, S. Bhattacharjee, S. Meena, D. Baleanu, S. D. Purohit, Certain classes of the incomplete I-functions and their properties (communicated).
- [10]. K. Jangid, S. Bhattacharjee, S. Meena, D. Baleanu, M. A. Qurashi, S. D. Purohit, Some fractional calculus findings associated with the incomplete I-functions, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 265(2020.)